

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/868,905 | 07/09/2001 | Hiroki Yoshizawa | 210763US3PCT | 1288 | | |
| 22850 7: OBLON SPIV | EVAM | INED | | | | |
| FOURTH FLO | OR | EXAMINER KASTLER, SCOTT R | | | | |
| 1755 JEFFERS ARLINGTON, | ON DAVIS HIGHWA VA 22202 | Y | KASILEK, SCOTT K | | | |
| , | | 1 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1742 | 4 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002 | . \ | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application | N . | Applicant(s) | • • | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/868,905 | 09/868,905 YOSHIZAWA ET AL. | | | | | | |
| | Offic | Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Scott Kastle | | 1742 | | | | |
| Period f | | ING DATE of this communication | n appears on the c | ov r sheet with th | correspondenc addre | :SS | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | MAILING Ensions of time r SIX (6) MONTI period for reply period for reply re to reply withing reply received by | STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI may be available under the provisions of 37 C HS from the mailing date of this communication y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, y is specified above, the maximum statutory print the set or extended period for reply will, by y the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. a reply within the statutor oeriod will apply and will er statute, cause the applica | however, may a reply be t y minimum of thirty (30) da cpire SIX (6) MONTHS froi tion to become ABANDON | imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. | | | |
| 1) | Respons | ive to communication(s) filed or | · | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ | This action | on is FINAL. 2b)⊠ | This action is no | n-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Disp siti | | s application is in condition for a accordance with the practice u ms | | | | nerits is | | | |
| 4) 🖾 | Claim(s) | <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applic | cation. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the | above claim(s) is/are wit | hdrawn from consi | deration. | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | are subject to restriction a | and/or election req | uirement. | | | | | |
| '' | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| , | • | ication is objected to by the Exa | | _ | | | | | |
| 10)[2] | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 400 | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| ' | | - | ie Laminer. | • | | | | | |
| | | J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | rojan priority unde | m 25 I I S C S 110 | (a) (d) as (f) | | | | |
| , — | | dgment is made of a claim for fo 〗Some * c)囗 None of: | reign priority unde | :1 33 0.3.0. 9 1 19(| (a)-(u) 01 (1). | | | | |
| a) | ′ | j some cy_j None of. tified copies of the priority docu | mants have been i | racaivad | | | | | |
| | | , , , | | | tion No | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| * 5 | | application from the Internation ached detailed Office action for | al Bureau (PCT Ri | ıle 17.2(a)). | | aye | | | |
| 14) 🗆 A | Acknowled | gment is made of a claim for do | mestic priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (e) (to a provisional ap | oplication). | | | |
| 1 | • — | anslation of the foreign languag gment is made of a claim for do | • | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of Draftspe | ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N | 8) 5 | Notice of Informa | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Il Patent Application (PTO-1 | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re | | Off | ice Action Summary | | Part of Pa | aper No. 4 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/868,905

Art Unit: 1742

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be in the form of a single paragraph of no more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claims 4-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the article by W.A. Heinemann. Heinemann teaches, on page 29-30 and figure 5 for example, when describing twin roll atomization, that an arrangement for forming metal flakes is known where molten metal is dispensed from a nozzle onto cooling rolls, one of which is arranged at a different height and who's axis is out of parallelism (see page 30, 1st paragraph), where the roll gap (up to 0.5 mm, or 500 microns, is larger than the thickness of the metal bodies (200 microns, see Table 1 on page 29 for example), thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,905

Art Unit: 1742

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Both of Maringer and Japanese'007 are also cited as further examples of prior art metal flake formation devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk September 20, 2002